

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,131	08/06/2001	Mark J. Khesin	M0953/7007 (RMA)	3366
23628 7	7590 10/17/2003		EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			GAKH. YELENA G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\triangle$				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/924,131	KHESIN, MARK J.				
r	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	6 August 2001 .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 and 31-41 is/are pending in t	he application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 31-41</u> are subject to restr	iction and/or election requi	rement.				
	on Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/924,131

'Art Unit: 1743

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a method for analyzing operation of a combustor, classified in class 436, subclass 155.
  - II. Claims 15-24, 28-29, 31-34 and 40-41 drawn to a system for analyzing operation of a combustor, classified in class 422, subclass 78.
  - III. Claims 25-27, drawn to a system for analyzing operation of a combustor, classified in class 700, subclass 274.
  - IV. Claims 35-39, drawn to an apparatus for supporting a sensor, classified in class 248, subclass 94.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for thermal analysis of chemical compounds.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, i.e. the system processor of invention III determines average amplitude of the signal during particular time period according to a particular procedure, while the system processor of invention II analyzes AC component of the signal emitted from the combuster according to an algorithm.

Inventions I-III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Inventions I-III are

Art Unit: 1743

drawn to the method and apparatus comprising detecting and analyzing radiation. Invention IV is drawn to the support of the sensor, which in no way is related to the Inventions I-III.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Robert M. Abrahamsen on 10/15/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5906. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Yelena G. Gakh 10/15/03 Hele Hale